

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,860	10/24/2003	Peng-Ho Lin	P-1375	6721
35741 7.	590 02/23/2005		EXAM	INER
KUO-HSIUNG CHIU			TALBOT, MICHAEL	
13F., NO.23, JI TAICHUNG,	13F., NO.23, JIUN-HO STREET, PEITUN DISTRICT TAICHUNG. 406		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIWAN			3722	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
r	10/691,860	LIN, PENG-HO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael W Talbot	3722
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  • Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  • If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl • If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period • Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 C     This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under €.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		•
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 October 2003 is/are.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureal * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the engaging element" and "the internal annular protrusion" in lines 7 and 18 respectively of claim 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin '114 in view Jore '654 and further in view of Fan-Chiang et al. 2004/0164503. Lin '114 shows in Figures 1 and 2 a coupling tube comprises a hollow main body (20) formed in a cylindrical shape with an engaging seat at one end adapted to receive a screwdriver bit and an insertion rod (22) at the opposing end, an external annular slot (23) communicating with an internal through hole (21) which receives a semicircular engaging member (70) within the slot to secure the bit, and a first engaging annular slot (24) which receives a first engaging ring member (50). Lin '114 further shows a socket (30) mounted on main body formed with a conic shaped slot

Page 3

(31) having an accommodation area in which a spring element (40) is received and a second engaging ring member (60) properly mounted to prevent the socket from separating from the main body. Lin '114 lacks the semicircular engaging member being of steel construction and the presence of a second engaging annular slot. Jore '654 teaches in col. 4, lines 16-20 an engaging member (52) being constructed of a spring steel material. In view of this teaching of Jore '654, it is considered to have been obvious to have the engaging member of Wang '384 made from a spring steel material to provide a more durable and resilient member and ultimately increase the life of the connection means.

Fan-Chiang et al. 2004/0164503 shows the combination of the second engaging member (19) with a corresponding second engaging annular slot (122). In view of this teaching of Fan-Chiang et al. 2004/0164503, it is considered to have been obvious to modify the second engagement construction of Wang '384 to that of Fan-Chiang et al. 2004/0164503 to provide a much improved connection mechanism between the second engaging member and the second engaging slot to further prevent the socket from separating from the main body.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang '384 in view of Jore '654 and further in view of Fan-Chiang et al. 2004/0164503. Wang '384 shows in Figures 2-4 a coupling tube comprises a main body (1) formed in a cylindrical shape with an engaging seat at one end adapted to receive a screwdriver bit (8) and an insertion rod (13) at the opposing end, an external annular slot (11) communicating with an internal hole (10) which receives a semicircular engaging member (2) within the slot to secure the bit at the V-shaped indentations (82), and a first engaging annular slot (12) which receives a first engaging ring member (3). Wang '384 further shows a socket (6) mounted on main body formed with a conic shaped slot (61) having an accommodation area (60) in which a spring element (4) is received and a second engaging ring member (5) properly mounted to prevent the socket from

Application/Control Number: 10/691,860

Art Unit: 3722

separating from the main body. Wang '384 lacks the semicircular engaging member being of

Page 4

steel construction and the presence of a second engaging annular slot. Jore '654 teaches in

col. 4, lines 16-20 an engaging member (52) being constructed of a spring steel material. In

view of this teaching of Jore '654, it is considered to have been obvious to have the engaging

member of Wang '384 made from a spring steel material to provide a more durable and resilient

member and ultimately increase the life of the connection means.

Fan-Chiang et al. 2004/0164503 shows the combination of the second engaging

member (19) with a corresponding second engaging annular slot (122). In view of this teaching

of Fan-Chiang et al. 2004/0164503, it is considered to have been obvious to modify the second

engagement construction of Wang '384 to that of Fan-Chiang et al. 2004/0164503 to provide a

much improved connection mechanism between the second engaging member and the second

engaging slot to further prevent the socket from separating from the main body.

Any inquiry concerning the content of this communication from the examiner should be 6.

directed to Michael W. Talbot, whose telephone number is 571-272-4481. The examiner's

office hours are typically 8:30am until 5:00pm, Monday through Friday. The examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Derris Banks, may be reached at 571-272-4419.

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging

FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at FAX number 703-872-9306.

This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing

papers, which require a fee, by applicants who authorize charges to a USPTO deposit account.

Please identify Examiner Michael W. Talbot of Art Unit 3722 at the top of your cover sheet.

Michael W. Talbot

Examiner

Art Unit 3722

Daniel W. Howell Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3722